### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

### **PCT**

REC'D	2 8	3	JUL	2005
WIPO				PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P3162 WO ORD	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/GB2004/001554	13.04.2004	10.04.2003						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC								
G06K17/00, G06K19/10								
Applicant THE CENTRAL SCIENCE LABORATORY, "CSL",et al.								
THE CENTRAL SCIENCE PADON	ATOTT, OOL ,et al.							
This report is the international pr Authority under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination report, established t ansmitted to the applicant according to Artio	by this International Preliminary Examining cle 36.						
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
3. This report is also accompanied								
1	to the International Bureau) a total of she							
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in Item 4 of Box No. I and the								
b ☐ (sent to the International	Supplemental Box.  b. \( \simega \) (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a							
sequence listing and/or ta	sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
Box Holding to coquenc	<b>2.0</b> (2.0.0 2.0	,						
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following items:							
4. This report contains indications  Box No. I Basis of the operations.								
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op☐ Box No. II Priority	pinion							
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op☐ Box No. II Priority		entive step and industrial applicability						
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op☐ Box No. II Priority☐ Box No. III Non-establish☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	pinion ment of opinion with regard to novelty, inve of invention							
<ul> <li>☑ Box No. I Basis of the op</li> <li>☐ Box No. II Priority</li> <li>☑ Box No. III Non-establish</li> <li>☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity op</li> <li>☑ Box No. V Reasoned sta</li> </ul>	pinion ment of opinion with regard to novelty, inve	ovelty, inventive step or industrial						
<ul> <li>☑ Box No. I Basis of the op</li> <li>☐ Box No. II Priority</li> <li>☑ Box No. III Non-establish</li> <li>☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity op</li> <li>☑ Box No. V Reasoned sta</li> </ul>	pinion ment of opinion with regard to novelty, inve of invention tement under Article 35(2) with regard to no itations and explanations supporting such	ovelty, inventive step or industrial						
<ul> <li>☑ Box No. I Basis of the op</li> <li>☐ Box No. II Priority</li> <li>☑ Box No. III Non-establish</li> <li>☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of</li> <li>☑ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of</li> <li>☐ Box No. VI Certain documents</li> </ul>	pinion ment of opinion with regard to novelty, inve of invention tement under Article 35(2) with regard to no itations and explanations supporting such	ovelty, inventive step or industrial						
Box No. I Basis of the op  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establish  Box No. IV Lack of unity of  Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of  Box No. VI Certain docum  Box No. VII Certain defect	pinion  ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention tement under Article 35(2) with regard to notations and explanations supporting such seems cited	ovelty, inventive step or industrial						
Box No. I Basis of the op Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establish Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain docum Box No. VII Certain defect Box No. VIII Certain obser	ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention tement under Article 35(2) with regard to notations and explanations supporting such the international application vations on the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement						
Box No. I Basis of the op  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establish  Box No. IV Lack of unity of  Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of  Box No. VI Certain docum  Box No. VII Certain defect	pinion  ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention  tement under Article 35(2) with regard to notations and explanations supporting such the cited  s in the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement						
Box No. I Basis of the op Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establish Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain docum Box No. VII Certain defect Box No. VIII Certain obser	ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention tement under Article 35(2) with regard to notations and explanations supporting such the international application vations on the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement						
Box No. I Basis of the op Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establish Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain document Box No. VII Certain defect Box No. VIII Certain obsertion Box No. VIII Certain obsertion of the demand  29.12.2004	ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention tement under Article 35(2) with regard to notations and explanations supporting such shents cited in the international application vations on the international application  Date of completio 27.07.2005	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement						
Box No. I Basis of the op Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establish Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain document Box No. VII Certain defect Box No. VIII Certain obsertion Box No. VIII Certain obsertion of the demand  29.12.2004  Name and mailing address of the internation of t	ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention tement under Article 35(2) with regard to notations and explanations supporting such shents cited in the international application vations on the international application  Date of completio 27.07.2005	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement						
Box No. I Basis of the op Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establish Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain document Box No. VII Certain defect Box No. VIII Certain obsertion Box No. VIII Certain obsertion of the demand  29.12.2004	ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention terment under Article 35(2) with regard to notations and explanations supporting such shents cited in the international application vations on the international application  Date of completion 27.07.2005  Authorized Officer	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement						

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

3

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001554

	Box No. I Basis of the report			
1.	With regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	<ul> <li>□ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:</li> <li>□ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))</li> <li>□ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)</li> <li>□ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)</li> </ul>			
2.	2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets wh have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):			
	Description, Pages			
	1-20 as originally filed			
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-22 as originally filed			
	Drawings, Sheets			
	1-2 as originally filed			
٠	□ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3	<ul> <li>☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:</li> <li>☐ the description, pages</li> <li>☐ the claims, Nos.</li> <li>☐ the drawings, sheets/figs</li> <li>☐ the sequence listing (specify):</li> <li>☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):</li> </ul>			
4	<ul> <li>□ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).</li> <li>□ the description, pages</li> <li>□ the claims, Nos.</li> <li>□ the drawings, sheets/figs</li> <li>□ the sequence listing (specify):</li> <li>□ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):</li> <li>* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."</li> </ul>			

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001554

	Davi	No III Non-octabliokment of	oni	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
		No. III Non-establishment of licability				
1.	The obvi	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire international application,				
	×	claims Nos. 22				
		because:				
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 22				
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
		the written form		has not been furnished		
				does not comply with the standard		
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
				does not comply with the standard		
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, or not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	·□	See separate sheet for further	deta	ils ·		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-15,17-20

No: Claims 16,21

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-15,17-20

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-21

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001554

ad V.

- 1. D1, in particular page 5, lines 1-23 and claim 1, discloses a method for facilitating the identification, authentication and quality control of products wherein in a first phase a product is analysed and the result of the analysis is processed and stored on a data carrier e.g. in the form of a bar code (cf. figure 1). The data carrier is fixed on the container of the product (cf. page 4, lines 49-55). In a second phase the data of the data carrier is read by a reading device. If it cannot be assured that the product is still the same as in the first phase, the product is anew analysed.
- 2. D1 does not clearly disclose that in the second phase the result of the second analysis is compared with the read data. It also appears that it is not evident to compare the new result with stored data, since the new analysis is only made if reading shows that the stored data might be wrong. It appears therefore that the subject-matter of claim 1 meets the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT. This applies likewise to the corresponding system claim 18.
- 3. Independent method claim 16 is not new, because the marking method is known from D1, cf paragraph 1 above.
- The marked packed product of claim 21 is likewise known from D1, cf paragraph 1 above.